



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/782,257	C	02/14/2001	Syuuji Matsuura 0033-0692P		9932		
2292	7590	12/14/2005		EXAMINER			
BIRCH ST		RAMAN	RAMAN, USHA				
		A 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,			2617			

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		^	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			09/782,257		MATSUURA, SYL	TSUURA, SYUUJI	
			Examiner		Art Unit		
			Jsha Raman		2617		
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commun	ication appea	rs on the cover s	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ddress	
WHICHEVER I - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD F S LONGER, FROM THE N may be available under the provisions THS from the mailing date of this comn ply is specified above, the maximum st hin the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months a n adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication, atutory period will a will, by statute, can	E OF THIS CON a). In no event, however apply and will expire SI use the application to be	MMUNICATION er, may a reply be time IX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed he mailing date of this o (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status							
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) file	ed on 16 Sept	tember 2005				
2a)⊠ This action	• •	-	ction is non-final				
· <u></u>	s application is in condition	,			secution as to the	e merits is	
<i>,</i> —	accordance with the practi		·	•			
Disposition of Cla	iims						
4) Claim(s)	1-16 is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) Of the	e above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn	from considerat	tion.			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s)	<u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					•	
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restric	ction and/or e	lection requirem	ient.			
Application Paper	rs						
9)∏ The speci	ification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
•	ing(s) filed on is/are:		ted or b)□ obje	cted to by the E	xaminer.		
	may not request that any obje						
• •	nent drawing sheet(s) including					FR 1.121(d).	
11)∐ The oath	or declaration is objected to	by the Exan	niner. Note the a	attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119						
•	dgment is made of a claim	for foreign pr	iority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).		
·	☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	rtified copies of the priority						
	ertified copies of the priority			* *			
- :	pies of the certified copies	•			d in this National	Stage	
•	plication from the Internation	•	•				
* See the at	tached detailed Office actio	n for a list of	тие септиед сор	nes not received	a.		
Attachment(s)	011-1 (PTO 200)		" — .	-Ai	(DTO 445)		
1) Notice of Referer 2) Notice of Draftsp	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		nterview Summary (aper No(s)/Mail Dat			
·	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	•	5) 🔲 N		atent Application (PT	O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/782,257 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed September 16th, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As per applicant's request, translation to Japanese patent, (JP 11127211 A) have been provided herein. Applicant argues that Matsuura fails to disclose selectively outputting an intermediate frequency of the first frequency or a second frequency band lower than the first frequency band by utilizing the local oscillation circuit 50. The examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's allegations. Matsuura discloses selectively outputting an intermediate frequency of a second frequency (35), lower than the first frequency by utilizing the local oscillation circuit (50). What Matsuura lacks is the feature of a local oscillation circuit generating an oscillation signal corresponding to a second frequency band in a first mode and stopping the generation of the oscillation signal in a second mode. Reutz is relied upon for this feature. Applicant also argues that Reutz fails to disclose that its oscillator generates an oscillation signal corresponding to a second frequency that is lower than the first frequency band. The examiner would like to point out that claim 1 recites, "a local oscillation circuit generating an oscillation signal in a first mode....and stopping the generation of the oscillation signal in a second mode...", which is taught by Reutz (see abstract and column 1, lines 37-41). Applicant continues to argue that a proper motivation has not been provided for combining Matsuura in view of Reutz. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As stated in the rejection of claim 1, the motivation is to

allow circuit (47) of Matsuura to be integral to circuit (58), thereby outputting the required intermediate frequency on a single output terminal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regards to claim 6, it is unclear as to which terminal of the second bipolar transistor the first node voltage is coupled to.

Claim 7 is rendered indefinite as it depends on indefinite claim 6.

Claim 8 is rendered indefinite as it depends on indefinite claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-5, and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuura (JP 11127211 A) in view of Ruetz (US Pat. 5,155,453).

Regarding claim 1, Matsuura discloses cable modem tuner, comprising: a tuner circuit (3-14 and 18-23) taking and amplifying a signal (via amplifiers 6, 7

and 8) corresponding to a reception channel from input signals, and converting to an intermediate frequency signal of a first frequency band (via mixers 9, 11 and 13); and a down converter circuit (47 and 58) receiving the intermediate frequency signal of said first frequency band from said tuner circuit, and selectively outputting an intermediate frequency signal of said first frequency band (output 15) or a second frequency band lower than said first frequency band (output 35), a mixer circuit (49) for mixing the intermediate frequency signals of said first frequency band input (output of amplifier 48) to said down converter circuit with an output of said local oscillation circuit (50), and a filter circuit (51) receiving an output signal from said mixer circuit and passing a signal of a frequency corresponding to a set cut off frequency. See [0028], [0031], [0032] and figure 1 of Matsuura.

Matsuura does not disclose that the down converter circuit comprises a local oscillation circuit for generating an oscillation signal corresponding to said second frequency band in a first mode in which the intermediate frequency signal of said second frequency band is output, and stopping generation of said oscillation signal in a second mode in which the intermediate frequency signal of said first frequency band is output.

Ruetz discloses an oscillator means with dual output modes, that is controlled by an external control means, such that the oscillator generates an oscillating output signals in a first, "normal" mode of operation and stops

Art Unit: 2617

generating an oscillating output signal in a second "sleep" mode. See abstract and column 1, lines 37-41.

It would have been obvious to modify the down-converter means of Matsuura by using a dual-mode oscillator as taught by Reutz, in order to allow the mixer circuit to output a second frequency band (i.e. down-convert the first IF signal to a second frequency band) when the oscillator is in a first "normal" mode and allow the mixer circuit to output a the signal at a first frequency band when the oscillator is in a second "sleep" mode thereby allowing circuit 47 to be integral to circuit 58.

In regards to claim 2, the modified cable modem circuit comprises a tuner circuit including a first automatic gain control circuit (input 16 adjusting amplifiers 6-8) for adjusting amplitude of a signal corresponding to said reception channel to a prescribed level, said tuner further comprising a second automatic gain control circuit (input 17 adjusting amplifier 48) provided between said tuner circuit and said down converter circuit, for adjusting amplitude of the intermediate frequency signal of said first frequency band to a prescribed level. See [0028] and [0031] and figure 1 in Matsuura.

In regards to claim 3, the modified system does not disclose that the total gain attained by said first and second automatic gain control circuits is at least 55 dB. Examiner takes official notice that the AGC circuit can provide a gain of at least 55 dB as adjusted by the AGC input of the amplifier. It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system so that the gain of the AGC can be adjusted to output at least 55 dB, in order to provide a the signal at a strength required by the QAM demodulator circuit.

In regards to claim 4, the modified system comprises means for converting a non-parallel signal into a parallel signal (i.e. converting into I and Q signals) by using a phase shifter (56). See [0049]-[0052] and figure 11 in Matsuura.

In regards to claim 5, the second mode signal output comprises an amplified IF signal amplified in 48.

In regards to claim 13, the modified cable modem circuit comprises an upstream circuit (40) for transmitting a data signal to a cable television station; and a high pass filter (2) for introducing a multiwave down signal from said cable television station while removing said data signal. See [0022] in Matsuura.

In regards to claim 14, the examiner takes official notice that the DOCSIS standards require an upstream signal to be transmitted at minimum prescribed signal strength from the cable modem. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the system to include an AGC in the upstream circuit, in order to enable transmission of upstream signal at a minimum signal strength, in order to transmit signals at a signal level prescribed under DOCSIS standards.

6.

In regards to claim 15, the modified system comprises receiving circuit including a branching circuit (input selection circuit 18-20 for selecting between UHF, high VHF and low VHF bands) branching and outputting said down data signal upon receiving a down data signal of a band different from said multiwave down signal from CATV station through a cable. See [0022] in Matsuura.

In regards to claim 16, the modified system comprises upstream circuit (40 contained in 60), tuner (3-14 and 18-23 contained in 62-67 and 69), high pass filter (2 contained in 61) and down converter (47, 58 contained in 68 and 70) contained in a shield case partitioned individually. See fig. 2 and [0036]-[0037]. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuura (JP 11127211 A) in view of Ruetz (US Pat. 5,155,453) as applied to

In regards to claim 9, the modified system does not comprise a filter where the cut off frequency is set such that signal of the second frequency band is passed and the signal of said first frequency band is attenuated in said first mode, and the signal of said first and second frequency bands are passed in said second mode.

claim 1 above, and further in view of Kral (US Pre Grant Pub. 2004/0166799).

Kral teaches the method of varying a cut off frequency (i.e. a low pass filter can have a first cut off frequency higher than a second cut off frequency) in a low pass filter by closing switches to add or subtract additional impedances.

See [0098] in page 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Kral by using a switch in the low pass filter, wherein

closing the switch adds or subtracts additional impedances of the low pass filter, thereby varying its cut off frequency, in order to allow the pass signals only of the second frequency band (second cut off frequency) in a first mode and pass signals of the first and second frequency bands (i.e. first cut off frequency) in a second mode. The motivation is to shunt the high frequency signals of the first frequency band when outputting the lower frequency signals of the second frequency band.

In regards to claim 10, the modified system does not comprise a filter circuit with a switch, first inductor and capacitor in parallel and a second capacitor coupled between a first inductor and ground.

Examiner takes official notice that low pass filter comprising the structure of a first inductor in parallel with a second capacitor and a first capacitor coupled between the first inductor and a ground node were well known in the art at the time of the invention (see figure 1.21 in page 16 of Zverev).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the system by using a low pass filter comprising an inductor in parallel with a capacitor and a second capacitor coupled between the inductor and ground node, and using a closed switch as taught by Kral in parallel with the inductor to "subtract" imepedances, thereby increasing the cut off frequency of the low pass filter.

In regards to claim 11, the system of Matsuura in view of Ruetz comprises a tuner circuit including a first automatic gain control circuit (input 16 adjusting

Art Unit: 2617

amplifiers 6-8, see Matsuura, figure 1) for adjusting amplitude of a signal corresponding to said reception channel to a prescribed level, said tuner further comprising a second automatic gain control circuit (input 17 adjusting amplifier 48) provided between said tuner circuit and said down converter circuit, for adjusting amplitude of the intermediate frequency signal of said first frequency band to a prescribed level. See [0028] and [0031] in Matsuura. The modified system further comprises means for converting a non-parallel signal into a parallel signal (i.e. converting into I and Q signals) by using a phase shifter (56). See [0049]-[0052] and figure 11 in Matsuura.

The modified system comprises a filter (51) for passing a signal corresponding to a set cut off frequency, but does not comprise a cut off frequency set such that signal of the second frequency band is passed and the signal of said first frequency band is attenuated in said first mode, and the signal of said first and second frequency bands are passed in said second mode.

Kral teaches the method of varying a cut off frequency (i.e. a low pass filter can have a first cut off frequency higher than a second cut off frequency) in a low pass filter by closing switches to add or subtract additional impedances.

See [0098] in page 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Kral by using a switch in the low pass filter, wherein closing the switch adds or subtracts additional impedances of the low pass filter, thereby varying its cut off frequency, in order to allow the pass signals only of the second frequency band (second cut off frequency) in a first mode and pass

signals of the first and second frequency bands (i.e. first cut off frequency) in a second mode. The motivation is to shunt the high frequency signals of the first frequency band when outputting the lower frequency signals of the second frequency band.

In regards to claim 12, the modified cable modem circuit houses the tuner circuit, the intermediate frequency AGC circuit, the down-converter and the signal converting circuit in one box. See Matsuura [0034] and [0059].

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Usha Raman whose telephone number is (571) 272-7380. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9am-6pm.

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

UR

SUPPLY CENTER 2000